

From: tweet37@juno.com
To: [Benton Public Comment](#)
Subject: LU24-027 PC testimony
Date: Tuesday, July 1, 2025 1:00:12 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

July 1, 2025

Dear Planning Commission, Thank you very much for your extensive time commitments to this ongoing CUP process, and for allowing the public a chance to participate in this CUP case.

The Supplemental Staff Report and x number of highly technical, new support documents from the applicant for LU24-027 CUP Coffin Butte Landfill Expansion by Republic Services 2025, are issued for public review on June 26, 2025.

This gave the public less than five working days to review these materials and meet the July 1 at one pm dead line for emailed in testimony to reach the PCommission in a x dated packet for CUP LU24-027.

Is less than five work days legally enough time for the public to review this supplemental staff report, x numbers of new complex/technical application materials in time for the July 1, 2025 by one email cut off? Additionally, the second requirement to the public process, is not disclosed to the reasons for this requirement for testimony to be only on paper after July 1 at 1 pm.

Counties use of MUNIDOCS makes for a more difficult time using this platform, and public Testimony in a few areas was not scanned in correctly from the May 1 and May 6 hearing, as several sets of public testimony, with attachments are out of order in public testimony in Munidoc files.

The new set of supplemental additional applicant materials is also difficult to review in Munidocs, and may be filed in MUNIDOCS with less clarity to organization, and this disorganization of materials on MUNIDOCS makes it difficult to review and understand LU24-027 CUP application materials on Munidocs.

Goal One may be being violated if the public is not being given enough time to review this supplemental Staff Report and x number of new documents/New application materials that are in Munidocs.

Using Munidocs is not easy, or user friendly so it takes more time and patients to operate and find items on Munidocs with document titles cut off from view, on the right hand side of the file number. I have asked in May 2025, for this file title File name label cut off in Munidocs problem to be addressed from Benton County, and it has not been corrected.

Munidocs is still hard to use, and missing clarity in how file names are presented to the viewing public on the BC Website.

Munidocs is missing factual detail in File names and is unclear on how LU24-027 files are presented to the public, and this problem has been identified and asked to be resolved and it has not been corrected as of July 1, 2025.

Thanks, R.Foster Corvallis